

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/892,622	(06/28/2001	Dai Miyawaki	826.1733 4876			
21171	7590	12/13/2006		EXAMINER			
4	STAAS & HALSEY LLP				HUYNH, CONG LAC T		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW		'ENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING		•		2178			
				DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006			
,							

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/892,622	MIYAWAKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Cong-Lac Huynh	2178		

	Cong-Lac Huynh	2178							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)						
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN									
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as						
NOTICE OF APPEAL		er i sas e a							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in below	nsideration and/or search (see NOw);	TE below);							
appeal; and/or			ine issues ioi						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).									
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		e 1 e 1 - 1							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		-							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⋈ will not be entered, or b) will will not be entered. will not be ente	ll be entered and an e	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,5-10,12,14-16,18,20-22,24 and 26-</u>	<u>36</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•	•	,						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a l).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
13. Other:									
			•						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitations to independent claims and the new claims require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the request was in part based on the newly added limitations as indicated in NOTE above. Further, Applicant's arguments regarding the issue that obtaining URLs together with the image data is not disclosed in Johnson is not persuasive. Johnson teaches that "If used with a Macintosh and Netscape, users can merely dragand-drop WWW pages, images and text into the GrabNet window to automatically transfer their universal-resource-locators (URL) along with the images or text clippings. For other browsers, a simple copy-and-paste accomplishes the same task." Clearly, the URL of the image is transferred with the image when dragging-and-dropping or copying- and-pasting. That means, the image obtained from the dragging-and-dropping or copying-and-pasting has its corresponding URL. In other words, in Johnson the image data is obtained along with the URL when dragging-and- dropping operation or copying-and-pasting operation an image is performed. Applicant also argues that Johnson does not disclose updating an image attribute in the application with a URL at which the image is available and with the image identification information relating to the image. In response, it is noted that it was well known that data when dragged and dropped or copied and pasted to an application is inserted into the application. Therefore, when an image is inserted in an application and its associated URL is transferred along with via dragging and dropping or copying and pasting, the image is updated with the URL at which the image is available. The WWW page's title, beside being used as the index entry, is an identification information of the image for updating the image data beside the URL. Applicants argue that in the final office action the statement "the image, when dropped or pasted in the application, is inserted in the application" is without basis since in Johnson images are only needed for the user to show into which folder they'd like to place the URL, there is no need for the image to be inserted. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. In Johnson, folders are created and named. Then, WWW pages, images and text with their associated URLs are inserted into the folders via dragging-and-dropping or copying-and-pasting where such data insertion is a well-known feature of dragging and dropping or copying and pasting. The images are not needed for the user to show which folder they'd like to place the URL as argued.

> CONG LAC HUYNH PRIMARY EXAMINER

> > 12/11/06